



America's « Aristocratic Branch »: Supreme Court Justices Represent Privilege Exclusively

Par [Stephen Lendman](#)

Mondialisation.ca, 28 mars 2016

17 mars 2016

Région : [USA](#)

Thème: [Law and Justice](#)

Obama's Supreme Court nominee Merrick Garland is a well-known Washington establishment figure - currently US Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit chief judge.

He's a 1974 Harvard summa cum laude/Phi Beta Kappa, class valedictorian graduate, former president of its Board of Overseers, a Harvard Law School 1977 magna cum laude graduate, its Harvard Law Review articles editor.



All High Court members, including the recently deceased Antonin Scalia, attended Harvard or Yale law schools. If Garland's nomination is approved, tradition will remain unchanged.

Ruth Bader Ginsburg graduated from Columbia Law School after transferring from Harvard.

Garland clerked for US Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit Judge Henry Friendly, later for Supreme Court Justice William J. Brennan, Jr.

He's a former federal prosecutor and private law firm Arnold & Porter corporate litigation partner. Earlier he taught antitrust law at Harvard Law School.

On March 16, Obama nominated him to fill the vacancy left by Scalia's death. He represents privilege, not populism. In *Odah v. United States* (2003), he ruled against federal courts hearing challenges from Guantanamo prisoners - reversed by the Supreme Court in *Rasul v. Bush* (2004).

Since the High Court's 1789 founding, its rulings show allegiance to power, not "we the people."

Privilege alone matters. The prevailing fiction about America's founders establishing an egalitarian system representing all citizens equitably is polar opposite reality.

The nation was always ruled by men (more recently including women), not laws. They lie, connive, misinterpret laws, and pretty much do what they please for their own self-interest and powerful constituents.

Democracy is pure fantasy. So is liberal governance in all three federal branches. A chief executive serves as a virtual dictator in times of war.

One senator can negate the will of the majority. Congressional committees are run by power brokers. Money controlled lobbyists wield enormous influence.

America is a one-party state with two wings, each in lockstep on issues mattering most - notably war and peace, corporate empowerment and cracking down hard on resisters.

The Constitution's Article I, Section 8 stating "(t)he Congress shall have power to...provide for (the) general welfare of the United States" - the so-called welfare clause applicable to the Executive and High Court belies reality.



America's sordid history includes endless wars, police state laws, streets turned into battlegrounds, cops licensed to kill with impunity, unchecked political and corporate corruption, racketeering labor officials, and neglected social needs.

In his important book, titled "[Democracy for the Few](#)," Michael Parenti called the Supreme Court America's "aristocratic branch."

Its members are appointed for life with enormous power, serving privilege, not "we the people," nearly always siding with wealth and power interests.

Even during the Franklin Roosevelt New Deal era, "the Supreme Court was the activist bastion of laissez-faire capitalism," Parenti explained.

High Courts notably "oppose restrictions on capitalist power...support(ing) restrictions on the civil liberties of persons who agitated against that power."

Justice in America is a four-letter word. High Courts earlier and now "treated the allegedly pernicious quality of a radical idea as evidence of its lethal efficacy and as justification for its suppression."

"(T)he threat of revolution in the United States has never been as real or harmful as the measures taken to 'protect' us from revolutionary ideas..."

America's courts, especially its highest, serve as guardians of power, continuity of a system serving privilege exclusively.

The Warren Court (1953 - 1969) was the exception proving the rule. Its distinguished members included William J. Brennan, Jr., William O. Douglas, Felix Frankfurter and Thurgood Marshall for a short period.

For the first time ever, justices ruled "repeatedly on behalf of the less affluent," Parenti explained, including the landmark 1954 *Brown v. Board of Education* decision, ruling "separate educational facilities inherently unequal" - years before Marshall joined the High Court.

Post-Warren courts for nearly half a century have been notably right-wing, today more than ever. High Court injustice prevails, people rights and needs consistently spurned, privilege exclusively served.

Garland represents business as usual. No modern-day William Brennans or Thurgood Marshalls are considered for High Court appointments. America's privileged class demands its own.

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net.

His new book as editor and contributor is titled « [Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III](#). »

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html>

Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com.

Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News

La source originale de cet article est Mondialisation.ca
Copyright © [Stephen Lendman](#), Mondialisation.ca, 2016

Articles Par : [Stephen Lendman](#)

A propos :

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html> Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

Avis de non-responsabilité : Les opinions exprimées dans cet article n'engagent que le ou les auteurs. Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation se dégage de toute responsabilité concernant le contenu de cet article et ne sera pas tenu responsable pour des erreurs ou informations incorrectes ou inexactes.

Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation (CRM) accorde la permission de reproduire la version intégrale ou des extraits d'articles du site [Mondialisation.ca](#) sur des sites de médias alternatifs. La source de l'article, l'adresse url ainsi qu'un hyperlien vers l'article original du CRM doivent être indiqués. Une note de droit d'auteur (copyright) doit également être indiquée.

Pour publier des articles de [Mondialisation.ca](#) en format papier ou autre, y compris les sites Internet commerciaux, contactez: media@globalresearch.ca

[Mondialisation.ca](#) contient du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur, dont le détenteur n'a pas toujours autorisé l'utilisation. Nous mettons ce matériel à la disposition de nos lecteurs en vertu du principe "d'utilisation équitable", dans le but d'améliorer la compréhension des enjeux politiques, économiques et sociaux. Tout le matériel mis en ligne sur ce site est à but non lucratif. Il est mis à la disposition de tous ceux qui s'y intéressent dans le but de faire de la recherche ainsi qu'à des fins éducatives. Si vous désirez utiliser du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur pour des raisons autres que "l'utilisation équitable", vous devez demander la permission au détenteur du droit d'auteur.

Contact média: media@globalresearch.ca