

Clinton Claims Victory but Arizona's Voting Fiasco Dubbed « National Disgrace »

Shuttered polling places, endless lines, and widespread disenfranchisement—particularly for minority communities

Par Lauren McCauley

Mondialisation.ca, 24 mars 2016

Common Dreams 23 mars 2016

Région : <u>USA</u>

Thème: <u>Law and Justice</u> Analyses: <u>U.S. Elections</u>

Something was amiss in Arizona on Tuesday. As Hillary Clinton <u>claimed</u> victory in the state's Democratic primary, thousands of voters were left out to dry, with shuttered polling places, endless lines, and widespread reports of disenfranchisement.

In Maricopa County, which includes the capital Phoenix, voters <u>waited</u> up to five hours to cast a ballot after the County Elections Office slashed the number of polling sites from 200 in 2012 to just 60 this year. As the state's most populous county, this amounted to more than 20,000 voters for every available polling location.

Notably, many Latino areas were left with either just one or no polling places. Maricopa County Recorder Helen Purcell defended that decision, saying, « we looked at an area, and factored into that how many early ballots we usually get in that area and how many people normally vote at the polls. We didn't look at it as legislative districts. We looked at the overall picture of our voters. »

In response, Arizona Central columnist Elvia Diaz wrote,

« So, it is no coincidence many poor and predominantly Latino areas didn't get a polling place. Purcell and her staff figured few of them vote anyway. She just decided to discount them. Really. »

And despite the sizable turnout, major news networks, including *CNN*, called the race just minutes after polls closed while many voters would still wait hours before even casting a ballot.

Bernie Sanders' campaign manager, Jeff Weaver, expressed frustration over the early call. « I'm not predicting victory, but I'm not predicting defeat, either, » he told CNN. « I mean, we have to wait and see 'til the votes are counted. »

The Arizona Democratic Party is also investigating <u>reports</u> that numerous Democratic voters were mistakenly identified as « independent » in the voter database, which would exclude them from the closed primary. Many of the misidentified voters were given provisional ballots, which may not have even been counted.

« the day was so hectic, that as it became clear Clinton won, Sanders supporter Sheila Ryan said she just couldn't believe it: 'What about all the provisional ballots? What about all the ballots from [people still in line]? Are those getting counted?' »

What's more, just days before the vote, the state's Republican Governor Doug Ducey signed a law making « felony for anyone but a family member, roommate, caregiver, postal worker or elections official to collect early ballots, » the *Associated Press* reported. The new law made it « nearly impossible for voter-outreach groups to collect and drop off early ballots. »

As University of Florida professor Michael McDonald <u>pointed out</u>, Arizona is one of the states that would have required federal approval to alter its voting laws until the Supreme Court <u>gutted</u> the Voting Rights Act in 2013.

Stood in line a long time to vote? Perhaps you now better understand significance of <u>#SCOTUS</u> nomination battle <u>https://t.co/Lp1Fo4Dhul</u>

— Michael McDonald (@ElectProject) March 23, 2016

As Sanders supporter Patti Serrano told the *Phoenix New Times*, « I think there's voter suppression going on, and it is obviously targeting particular Democrats. Many working - class people don't have the privilege to be able to stand in line for three hours. »

There were other issues too. A number of polling places reportedly ran out of ballots while four locations in Tucson received bomb threats forcing at least one to evacuate.

The disenfranchisement did not escape the attention of others, either, including Sanders himself.

It's a national disgrace that people have to wait hours to cast a vote in any election.

— Bernie Sanders (@BernieSanders) March 23, 2016

Arizona officials manufactured an election mess. They reduced the # of polling places & were entirely unprepared for a high-turnout primary.

— John Nichols (@NicholsUprising) March 23, 2016

Ultimately, Clinton was credited with winning 57.8 percent of the vote to Sanders' 39.8 percent. But more than have 36,000 people signed a White House <u>petition</u> calling on the Obama administration to investigate the voter fraud and suppression in Arizona.

La source originale de cet article est <u>Common Dreams</u> Copyright © <u>Lauren McCauley</u>, <u>Common Dreams</u>, 2016

Articles Par: Lauren McCauley

Avis de non-responsabilité: Les opinions exprimées dans cet article n'engagent que le ou les auteurs. Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation se dégage de toute responsabilité concernant le contenu de cet article et ne sera pas tenu responsable pour des erreurs ou informations incorrectes ou inexactes.

Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation (CRM) accorde la permission de reproduire la version intégrale ou des extraits d'articles du site <u>Mondialisation.ca</u> sur des sites de médias alternatifs. La source de l'article, l'adresse url ainsi qu'un hyperlien vers l'article original du CRM doivent être indiqués. Une note de droit d'auteur (copyright) doit également être indiquée.

Pour publier des articles de <u>Mondialisation.ca</u> en format papier ou autre, y compris les sites Internet commerciaux, contactez: <u>media@globalresearch.ca</u>

Mondialisation.ca contient du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur, dont le détenteur n'a pas toujours autorisé l'utilisation. Nous mettons ce matériel à la disposition de nos lecteurs en vertu du principe "d'utilisation équitable", dans le but d'améliorer la compréhension des enjeux politiques, économiques et sociaux. Tout le matériel mis en ligne sur ce site est à but non lucratif. Il est mis à la disposition de tous ceux qui s'y intéressent dans le but de faire de la recherche ainsi qu'à des fins éducatives. Si vous désirez utiliser du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur pour des raisons autres que "l'utilisation équitable", vous devez demander la permission au détenteur du droit d'auteur.

Contact média: media@globalresearch.ca