



Dakota Access Pipeline Tribal Liaison Formerly Worked For Agency Issuing Permit To Cross Tribal Land

Par [Steve Horn](#)

Mondialisation.ca, 01 septembre 2016

[DeSmogBlog](#) 28 août 2016

Région : [USA](#)

Thème: [Environment](#), [Oil and Energy](#)

The Standing Rock tribe has filed a [lawsuit](#) against the U.S Army Corps of Engineers for using the controversial Nationwide Permit 12 to fast-track authorization of the hotly contested Dakota Access pipeline.

Slated to carry oil obtained via [hydraulic fracturing \("fracking"\)](#) from North Dakota's [Bakken Shale](#) basin to Patoka, Illinois, the plaintiffs say not only was the Army Corps' permitting of the Energy Transfer Partners and Enbridge Corporation [jointly owned pipeline](#) a violation of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the Clean Water Act, but also a violation of the [National Historic Preservation Act's \(NHPA\) Section 106](#).

A review of court documents for the case currently unfolding in the U.S. District Court in Washington, D.C. has revealed that the [tribal liaison](#) for Energy Transfer Partners tasked with abiding by Section 106 passed through the revolving door and formerly worked for the Army Corps. The finding also raises key ethical questions in the field of archaeology.

That liaison — [Michelle Dippel](#) — technically works for a Dakota Access LLC contractor named HDR, a company which [helps pipeline companies](#) and [other](#) oil and gas industry infrastructure companies secure permits for their projects. Dippel, the South Central Region Environmental Services Lead for HDR, began her career as a project manager for the Army Corps' Fort Worth District and also formerly worked for the natural gas pipeline company Spectra Energy.

An [archaeologist by academic training](#) and a [member](#) of the Register of Professional Archaeologists, a biographical sketch for Dippel tracked down on the Florida Department of Transportation's website lists her job sub-title as "[Project Streamlining](#)" on behalf of the DOT.

Dippel lists Section 106 consultation as an area of expertise on her LinkedIn page.[Section 106](#)

Section 106, in turn, serves as a major part of the focus of the lawsuit, the recently completed [occupation](#) of a Dakota Access Pipeline construction site in Cannon Ball, North Dakota, and the push by the Standing Rock Indian Reservation for a court-ordered [injunction](#) to halt pipeline instruction.

"Although federal law requires the Corps of Engineers to consult with the tribe about its

sovereign interests, permits for the project were approved and construction began without meaningful consultation,” reads an August 24 op-ed in [The New York Times by Standing Rock chairman David Archambault II](#).

“The Environmental Protection Agency, the Department of the Interior and the National Advisory Council on Historic Preservation supported more protection of the tribe’s cultural heritage, but the Corps of Engineers and Energy Transfer Partners turned a blind eye to our rights. The first draft of the company’s assessment of the planned route through our treaty and ancestral lands did not even mention our tribe.”

Indeed, the court documents demonstrate that Standing Rock officers’ [letters to the Army Corps often went unanswered](#).



Image Credit: U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia

The NHPA’s Citizen Guide to Section 106 Review explains that Section 106 [mandates](#) that government agencies, such as the Army Corps, “consider the effects of projects they carry out, approve, or fund on historic properties” though it also “does not mandate preservation” because “sometimes there is no way for a needed project to proceed without harming historic properties.” An [intervenor in the case](#), the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, also testified in court documents about the sanctity of the land as it relates to tribal traditions and history. One of those [testimonies](#) came from Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe member Joye Braun, an organizer for the [Indigenous Environmental Network](#).

At issue here in *Standing Rock Sioux Tribe v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers*, then, is the alleged lack of thoroughness of the Section 106 review and not the absence of one altogether. Even the [Advisory Council on Historic Preservation](#) (ACHP) itself penned a [letter](#) in May 2016 to the Corps expressing concern about the lack of rigor in its Section 106 Review.

Like the [southern leg](#) of TransCanada’s [Keystone XL](#) pipeline and Enbridge’s [Flanagan South](#) pipelines, the Army Corps and Dakota Access LLC by extension utilized Nationwide Permit 12 as an end-run around a more vigorous and NEPA-oriented environmental review.

Code of Conduct

The Register of Professional Archaeologists (RPA), of which Dippel is a member, [describes itself](#) as a “listing of archaeologists who have agreed to abide by an explicit code of conduct and standards of research performance, who hold a graduate degree in archaeology, anthropology, art history, classics, history, or another germane discipline.” RPA also notes that “Registration is a voluntary act that recognizes an individual’s personal responsibility to be held accountable for his or her professional behavior.”

RPA also maintains a [Code of Conduct](#), calling for those in the profession to veer away from archaeology in service to the powerful and to the detriment of the vulnerable.

“An archaeologist shall actively support conservation of the archaeological resource base [and] be sensitive to, and respect the legitimate concerns of, groups whose culture histories

are the subjects of archaeological investigations,” it reads. “An archaeologist shall not engage in any illegal or unethical conduct involving archaeological matters or knowingly permit the use of his/her name in support of any illegal or unethical activity involving archaeological matters.”

The Code, paying homage to ethics and the integrity of the profession, calls for archaeologists to disobey orders from their employers if they run contrary to the Code’s dictates.

“An archaeologist shall refuse to comply with any request or demand of an employer or client which conflicts with the Code and Standards,” it continues.

Dippel did not respond to a request for comment from DeSmog.

Terry Klein, President of RPA, said that the organization “only responds to a violation of our Code of Conduct or Standards of Research Performance when someone has [submitted a formal grievance](#) about the violation to the Register’s Grievance Coordinator.”

Though Dippel does not maintain a membership in the American Cultural Resources Association (ACRA), its [Code of Ethics](#) also has fairly strict guidelines for work one could or should do on behalf of a client.

“While the definition of the public interest changes through ongoing debate, an ACRA member owes allegiance to a responsibly derived concept of the public interest,” it reads. “An ACRA member shall strive to respect the concerns of people whose histories and/or resources are the subject of cultural resources investigation.”

Archaeology as “Disaster Capitalism”

Critics of the modern-practiced academic discipline of archaeology, such as [Richard Hutchings](#) — co-director of the [Institute for Critical Heritage and Tourism](#) and a Research Associate at Vancouver Island University — assail it as akin to what author Naomi Klein has referred to as “disaster capitalism.” Hutchings named it as such in a paper titled, “[Archaeology as Disaster Capitalism](#),” co-authored with Vancouver Island University archaeologist and Professor [Mariana La Salle](#).

The authors dub Dippel and those in similar positions in the orbit of commercial archaeology as “archaeobureaucrats,” or people who deploy their professional techniques in service to multinational corporations. Pipeline archaeology and clearing space for pipelines, in particular, has become a [major player](#) in the [private sector](#).

“That most archaeologists are employed by and answer directly to transnational development corporations is only the tip of the iceberg,” Hutchings said via email. “More troubling is that while most archaeologists are white (like their employers and their employer’s stockholders), the majority of heritage sites archaeologists permit to be destroyed are Native American, raising the specter of not just environmental racism but a coordinated and systematic human rights violation.”

Thomas King, the former and now-retired Director of the Office of Cultural Resource Preservation for ACHP, has also critiqued cultural resources management work done by U.S. government agencies and corporations as a de facto retired whistleblower, calling the process a form of “whitewashing” in his 2009 book titled, [Our Unprotected Heritage](#):

[Whitewashing the Destruction of our Cultural and Natural Environment.](#)

U.S. District Court Judge James Boasberg will [issue a ruling](#) on the Standing Rock tribe's request for an injunction on September 9, with a hearing on the issue set for the day before on September 8. Meanwhile, four miles north of Cannon Ball, an encampment of tribal protesters continues at the [Sacred Stone Camp](#).

La source originale de cet article est [DeSmogBlog](#)

Copyright © [Steve Horn](#), [DeSmogBlog](#), 2016

Articles Par : [Steve Horn](#)

Avis de non-responsabilité : Les opinions exprimées dans cet article n'engagent que le ou les auteurs. Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation se dégage de toute responsabilité concernant le contenu de cet article et ne sera pas tenu responsable pour des erreurs ou informations incorrectes ou inexacts.

Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation (CRM) accorde la permission de reproduire la version intégrale ou des extraits d'articles du site [Mondialisation.ca](#) sur des sites de médias alternatifs. La source de l'article, l'adresse url ainsi qu'un hyperlien vers l'article original du CRM doivent être indiqués. Une note de droit d'auteur (copyright) doit également être indiquée.

Pour publier des articles de [Mondialisation.ca](#) en format papier ou autre, y compris les sites Internet commerciaux, contactez: media@globalresearch.ca

[Mondialisation.ca](#) contient du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur, dont le détenteur n'a pas toujours autorisé l'utilisation. Nous mettons ce matériel à la disposition de nos lecteurs en vertu du principe "d'utilisation équitable", dans le but d'améliorer la compréhension des enjeux politiques, économiques et sociaux. Tout le matériel mis en ligne sur ce site est à but non lucratif. Il est mis à la disposition de tous ceux qui s'y intéressent dans le but de faire de la recherche ainsi qu'à des fins éducatives. Si vous désirez utiliser du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur pour des raisons autres que "l'utilisation équitable", vous devez demander la permission au détenteur du droit d'auteur.

Contact média: media@globalresearch.ca