



## Hillary or Bernie? Unions Fight It Out. Sharp Divide in America's Progressive Movement

Clinton Endorsements from Higher-ups Rile Sanders-Supporting Members

Par [Boen Wang](#)

Mondialisation.ca, 01 mars 2016

[Who What Why](#) 29 février 2016

Région : [USA](#)

Analyses: [U.S. Elections](#)

This article was first published by [Who What Why](#)

*The Democratic Party primary has revealed a sharp divide in the nation's progressive movement.*

*The national leaders of a wide range of unions and activist organizations have endorsed Hillary Clinton while state and local chapters, and many rank-and-file members, support Bernie Sanders.*

The 1.6 million-member American Federation of Teachers (AFT) became the first national union to weigh in on the Democratic primary last July when it endorsed Clinton. The endorsement was met with a [petition](#) calling for a retraction and thousands of Facebook [comments](#) supporting Sanders.

AFT Vice President Jerry Jordan told *WhoWhatWhy* that the endorsement was based on interviews the union conducted with Clinton, Sanders, and Martin O'Malley. (O'Malley has since dropped out of the race.) Republican candidates were invited, but none responded. Additionally, a nationwide [survey](#) was sent out to AFT members in June, and among the 1,150 respondents, 67% said they supported Clinton.

Clinton's endorsements came from "organizations where the leaders decide," while "every major union or progressive organization that let its members have a vote endorsed Bernie Sanders."

It's worth noting, however, that Clinton officially announced her campaign in April, just two months before the survey, while Sanders announced the following month in May, when he lacked the name recognition he currently enjoys.

Concerning the timing of the AFT's endorsement, Jordan said that "we all knew the track record of the three candidates," and that the AFT had long working relationships with all three. "When we interviewed them," Jordan said, "Clinton really shined that afternoon and spent time talking about all the issues."

Perhaps unsurprisingly, the Vermont state chapter of the AFT endorsed Sanders in January. Four years ago the same state chapter declined to second the national endorsement of

Barack Obama, president Ben Johnson told *WhoWhatWhy*, but “2016 is different because Bernie Sanders is from Vermont, man.”

There’s no question Brooklyn-born Sanders, Vermont’s junior senator, enjoys a significant home-field advantage in New England: the Vermont chapter of the National Education Association and the New Hampshire chapter of the Service Employees International Union endorsed Sanders, while the national leadership of both unions endorsed Clinton.

But it’s not just upper New England. When the League of Conservation Voters, an environmental advocacy group, [backed Clinton](#) last November, the reaction closely mirrored that following the AFT’s endorsement. There was a deluge of complaints on Facebook and criticism from the rank-and-file, many of whom pointed out that Sanders did better on the group’s “[scorecard](#)” than [Clinton did](#).

The timing of the endorsement also drew criticism: an [op-ed](#) written the following month claimed that “it’s far too early in this primary for the nation’s most powerful environmental political organization to endorse.”

According to [In These Times](#), over 40 local unions have endorsed Sanders, often diverging from the national unions’ endorsements of Clinton. [The Intercept](#) observed that all of Clinton’s endorsements came from “organizations where the leaders decide,” while “every major union or progressive organization that let its members have a vote endorsed Bernie Sanders.”

It seems clear that the fight over endorsements is an extension of the familiar media narrative of Clinton versus Sanders: the pragmatist versus the idealist, the frontrunner versus the underdog, and the well-connected insider versus the perennial outsider.

“I think there’s a difference in how people view presidential races depending on their vantage point,” said Johnson, the VT AFT president. “The vantage point of a lot of grassroots activists has to do with broad questions about what kind of society they want to live in and which candidate is telling the story best about the society they want to envision.” From this perspective, Johnson said, Sanders appears to be the more attractive candidate.

On the other hand, voters who are a bit higher up in political organizations are more concerned with “who’s going to be able to put something through Congress and try and get things done,” Johnson said. “Through that lens, Hillary Clinton looks a lot more likely than Bernie Sanders.”

In the end, an undemocratic endorsement process rewards the connected insider and stacks the deck against “insurgent” campaigns like the one Sanders is running. While that might benefit the establishment candidate in the short run, it also ends up alienating the base — and the Republican primary shows that taking such a path can lead to chaos.

La source originale de cet article est [Who What Why](#)  
Copyright © [Boen Wang](#), [Who What Why](#), 2016

---

**Avis de non-responsabilité** : Les opinions exprimées dans cet article n'engagent que le ou les auteurs. Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation se dégage de toute responsabilité concernant le contenu de cet article et ne sera pas tenu responsable pour des erreurs ou informations incorrectes ou inexacts.

Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation (CRM) accorde la permission de reproduire la version intégrale ou des extraits d'articles du site [Mondialisation.ca](http://Mondialisation.ca) sur des sites de médias alternatifs. La source de l'article, l'adresse url ainsi qu'un hyperlien vers l'article original du CRM doivent être indiqués. Une note de droit d'auteur (copyright) doit également être indiquée.

Pour publier des articles de [Mondialisation.ca](http://Mondialisation.ca) en format papier ou autre, y compris les sites Internet commerciaux, contactez: [media@globalresearch.ca](mailto:media@globalresearch.ca)

[Mondialisation.ca](http://Mondialisation.ca) contient du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur, dont le détenteur n'a pas toujours autorisé l'utilisation. Nous mettons ce matériel à la disposition de nos lecteurs en vertu du principe "d'utilisation équitable", dans le but d'améliorer la compréhension des enjeux politiques, économiques et sociaux. Tout le matériel mis en ligne sur ce site est à but non lucratif. Il est mis à la disposition de tous ceux qui s'y intéressent dans le but de faire de la recherche ainsi qu'à des fins éducatives. Si vous désirez utiliser du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur pour des raisons autres que "l'utilisation équitable", vous devez demander la permission au détenteur du droit d'auteur.

Contact média: [media@globalresearch.ca](mailto:media@globalresearch.ca)