



MISSING DETAILS: Orlando Shooting 911 Transcripts Questioned, Alleged Survivor's Story Challenged

Par [Shawn Helton](#)

Mondialisation.ca, 23 juin 2016

[21st Century Wire](#)

Région : [USA](#)

Thème: [Intelligence](#), [Terrorism](#)

The FBI have finally released the written transcripts from shooter Omar Mateen's supposed 911 call - and now apparently unredacted.

Meanwhile, another story of survival at Pulse nightclub is called into question.

Will this tell us anything new about this event, or will it just prompt even more questions?



*NIGHT OF TERROR? - A serene setting following the Orlando shooting attack on June 11th.
(Image Source: [twitter](#))*

Shaky Storyline, Missing Details

While the focus on Orlando shooter Omar Mateen's 911 transcripts is over what was included and what was not, and while the national media argue endlessly over the need for more gun control in the US - the most important aspect of the FBI supplied transcript seems to have gone virtually unnoticed. There's no discussion of an actual shooting occurring *inside* of the Pulse nightclub.

While the report has a basic outline of "[America's largest mass shooting](#)" it fails to account for any of the shootings said to have occurred within the Pulse nightclub, with no mention of individuals being shot at or reportedly shot at in the FBI's official narrative. It's as if they just left out the biggest piece of the puzzle.

This has become an all too common theme, as media press conference rituals shape a narrative within the first hours of a mass casualty event and even if that story turns out to be mostly false, the media runs with it anyway, whether or not law enforcement information and crime scene analysis belies the original narrative pushed by media. The most clear example of this happened during the aftermath of the WTC 1993 bombing, where major media outlets exposed it as an FBI run sting with their operators in control - yet still, media anchors called it an al-Qaeda led attack.

So when you consider what happened in Orlando, one should question the lack of shooting details- why is this aspect of the story left open for interpretation when every other part of the official timeline appears to be accounted for?

While the media conveniently overlook this important detail, the implications of this could

be very significant in determining who shot who, and when.

Interestingly, the description of the Orlando shooting even includes mention of the Orlando Police Department pulling an air conditioning unit out of a Pulse “dressing room” to evacuate victims, a multi-call crisis negotiation, the alleged shooter’s outrageous claims of a ‘bomb-laced vest’ and authorities breaching the back wall of the nightclub with an explosive charge – and yet, no mention of additional shots fired at patrons inside the club?

Here’s a portion of the [FBI’s summary](#) of events that excludes any mention of shots fired within the interior of the club itself:

“Based on OPD radio communications, there were no reports of shots being fired inside Pulse between the initial exchange of gunfire between responding officers and shooter, and the time of the final breach. During this time, the shooter communicated with an OPD 911 operator and an OPD crisis negotiator, and OPD radio communications reported that victims were being rescued.”

Here’s a more basic chronology of the Orlando shooting, as told by the FBI:

“2:02 a.m.: OPD call transmitted multiple shots fired at Pulse nightclub.

2:04 a.m.: Additional OPD officers arrived on scene.

2:08 a.m.: Officers from various law enforcement agencies made entrance to Pulse and engaged the shooter.

2:18 a.m.: OPD SWAT (Special Weapons and Tactics) initiated a full call-out.

2:35 a.m.: Shooter contacted a 911 operator from inside Pulse.

3:03 a.m.: Second crisis negotiation call occurred lasting approximately 16 minutes.

3:24 a.m.: Third crisis negotiation call occurred lasting approximately three minutes.

4:21 a.m.: OPD pulled an air conditioning unit out of a Pulse dressing room window for victims to evacuate.

4:29 a.m.: As victims were being rescued, they told OPD the shooter said he was going to put four vests with bombs on victims within 15 minutes.

5:02 a.m.: OPD SWAT and OCSO Hazardous Device Team began to breach wall with explosive charge and armored vehicle to make entry.

5:14 a.m.: OPD radio communication stated that shots were fired.

5:15 a.m.: OPD radio communication stated that OPD engaged the suspect and the suspect was reported down.”

The Orlando shooting details state “multiple shots [were] fired at Pulse nightclub at 2:02 am, as “Additional OPD officers arrived on scene,” at 2:04 am, with law enforcement engaging the shooter at 2:08 am – there is no other mention of shots fired until police exchange fire with the alleged suspect after breaching the wall at 5:15 am.

Question: Would it really be possible for Mateen to have accurately shot over 100 people, take hostages and engage in a fire fight with officers in just a 6 minute time frame?

This scenario is hardly likely, if not impossible.

Additionally, what happened during the apparent crisis negotiation from 3:24 am to 4:21 am, why have the FBI chosen not to elaborate on those details?

Matten's weapons of choice during the apparent attack were a Sig Sauer MCX .223 caliber rifle and a Glock 17 9mm semi-auto pistol – and according to the official story, was far more accurate than most well-trained law enforcement agents, defying statistical averages as examined by the Rand Corporation.

Let's take another look at the extensive Rand study involving the NYPD, here are the [following statistics](#) as it relates they firearm accuracy:

“According to a 2008 Rand Corporation study evaluating the New York Police Department's firearm training, between 1998 and 2006, the average hit rate during gunfights was just 18 percent . When suspects did not return fire, police officers hit their targets 30 percent of the time.

Another aspect of the Orlando shooting attack was the possibility that many club goers could have been injured by authorities, something that also seemed to be absent from the official story. Here's a passage from [WFAA8, an ABC affiliate](#) discussing this point:

“Orlando Police Chief John Mina and other law enforcement officers offered new details about the shooting, including the possibility that some victims may have been killed by officers trying to save them.

“I will say this, that's all part of the investigation,” Mina said. “But I will say when our SWAT officers, about eight or nine officers, opened fire, the backdrop was a concrete wall, and they were being fired upon.”

Police also used an explosive charge and a Bearcat armored vehicle to breach the wall as civilians were allegedly holed up in a bathroom. This has led some critics to consider the possibility that non-combatants could have become collateral damage during the SWAT siege at Pulse.

Political Fallout & Media Engineering

Also of note regarding the Orlando shooting event, was the obvious attempt to ramrod new ready-made gun legislation (struck down just two days ago) – just over a week after the incident.

Back in November of 2012, it was reported that the White House, along with other Democrats were already busy rewriting old gun-ban legislation just prior to the Sandy Hook shooting. [The Assault Weapons Ban and Law Enforcement Protection Act of 2007](#), otherwise known as H.R. 1022 of the 110th Congress, it introduced an early list of gun-bans and restrictions but was dropped due to lack of public support. A similar set of laws failed after the Oregon campus shooting in 2015.

While big media has been busy trying to sell the public on the most tragic shooting in America. They've also been attempting to fill in a series of unexplainable blanks by seemingly re-writing the Orlando narrative, with a wave of [contradictory information](#). In essence, the corporate media has boiled down two choices in the motive for the Orlando Pulse nightclub shooting – suggesting it's either a hate crime or terrorism.

And since then it's become problematic to *blend* certain elements of the official story in the Orlando shooting case, prompting Attorney General Loretta Lynch to state the [motive may never be known](#) in the alleged crime – after key aspects of the 911 transcript were redacted and a decision was made to block audio from the 911 call.

No motive, no audio, redacted transcripts and conflicting reports of the shooting, isn't that convenient.

The whole episode immediately recalls the much fabled death of Bin Laden and the political and media fiasco that followed the Abbotabad raid in Pakistan – all of which failed to reveal any *real* forensic evidence, as President Obama [withheld](#) post-mortem images of Bin Laden, including 'video' of the sea burial of the purported terror leader.

The fact is, none of the media's grandstanding or politicized hyperbole has offered anything in terms of actual evidence – as the public has been deliberately steered towards multiple storylines – while politicians go through another gun control/gun reform ritual on the Senate floor.



'QUIET EMERGENCY?' – A calm scene at Orlando Regional Medical Center hospital prior to apparent Pulse nightclub victims arriving for medical attention. (Image Source: [twitter](#))

Orlando's Staged Elements & False Claims

Recently [here at 21WIRE](#), we discussed much of the corporate media's theatrical depiction of the Orlando shooting and the widespread characterization of the event, which has been

reduced to two categories – that of a “lone gunman” and a saddened community centered on the sociopolitical ramifications of the incident, rather than an in-depth forensic analysis of the alleged crime itself.

In addition, we outlined some of the various Hollywood and media connections observed in the aftermath of the apparent Orlando shooting attack, as it was revealed that the world’s largest security firm G4S, who had employed the man named in the Orlando pulse nightclub shooting, Omar Mateen – is also client of the mass casualty and crisis actor staging company called [CrisisCast](#).

As if that wasn’t enough, we’re also now being told that alleged Pulse nightclub ‘survivor’ Clint Lampkin’s account of the shooting has been called into question by media. The following is a YouTube clip from Wochit news reviewing the inconsistencies found by the CBS-affiliated television station WHNT-TV...

The following was released by [FOX6](#) on June 21st, examining WHNT-TV’s findings over the weekend that have since been [removed](#) from the network’s website:

“On Saturday, hundreds of people in Alabama were captivated by an impromptu speech by Clint Lampkin, a man who claimed to be a survivor of the Pulse nightclub shooting in Orlando.”

“I was in the bar when it all happened,” Lampkin told the crowd at the Rocket City Pride Memorial Service in Huntsville. “It’s been hard on me... seeing people get shot.”

Lampkin said the hate he witnessed first-hand is still overwhelming.

“It’s really strong. I mean not just for my friend but all the others that lost their lives. It’s hard you know. It really is. It’s really sad,” Lampkin says.

Continuing, the recently published article outlined the contradictory nature of Lampkin’s comments made during a memorial service and a Facebook post on his personal account on the morning after the shooting:

La source originale de cet article est [21st Century Wire](#)
Copyright © [Shawn Helton](#), [21st Century Wire](#), 2016

Articles Par : [Shawn Helton](#)

Avis de non-responsabilité : Les opinions exprimées dans cet article n'engagent que le ou les auteurs. Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation se dégage de toute responsabilité concernant le contenu de cet article et ne sera pas tenu responsable pour des erreurs ou informations incorrectes ou inexactes.

Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation (CRM) accorde la permission de reproduire la version intégrale ou des extraits d'articles du site [Mondialisation.ca](#) sur des sites de médias alternatifs. La source de l'article, l'adresse url ainsi qu'un hyperlien vers l'article original du CRM doivent être indiqués. Une note de droit d'auteur (copyright) doit également être indiquée.

Pour publier des articles de [Mondialisation.ca](#) en format papier ou autre, y compris les sites Internet commerciaux, contactez:

media@globalresearch.ca

[Mondialisation.ca](http://mondialisation.ca) contient du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur, dont le détenteur n'a pas toujours autorisé l'utilisation. Nous mettons ce matériel à la disposition de nos lecteurs en vertu du principe "d'utilisation équitable", dans le but d'améliorer la compréhension des enjeux politiques, économiques et sociaux. Tout le matériel mis en ligne sur ce site est à but non lucratif. Il est mis à la disposition de tous ceux qui s'y intéressent dans le but de faire de la recherche ainsi qu'à des fins éducatives. Si vous désirez utiliser du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur pour des raisons autres que "l'utilisation équitable", vous devez demander la permission au détenteur du droit d'auteur.

Contact média: media@globalresearch.ca