



Neoliberalism and Financial Warfare: Can Russia Learn From Brazil's Fate?

Par [Dr. Paul Craig Roberts](#) et [Prof Michael Hudson](#)

Mondialisation.ca, 30 septembre 2016

[Paul Craig Roberts](#) 28 septembre 2016

Région : [Latin America & Caribbean](#), [Russia and FSU](#)

Thème: [Global Economy](#)

[William Engdahl recently explained](#) how Washington used the corrupt Brazilian elite, which answers to Washington, to remove the duly elected President of Brazil, Dilma Rousseff, for representing the Brazilian people rather than the interests of Washington.

Unable to see through the propaganda of unproven charges, Brazilians acquiesced in the removal of their protector, thereby providing the world another example of the impotence of democracy.

Everyone should read Engdahl's article. He reports that part of the attack on Rousseff stemmed from Brazil's economic problems deliberately created by US credit rating agencies as part of Washington's attack to down grade Brazilian debt, which set off an attack on the Brazilian currency.

Brazil's financial openness made Brazil an easy target to attack. One might hope that **Vladimir Putin** would take note of the cost of "economic openness." Putin is a careful and thoughtful leader of Russia, but he is not an economist. He has confidence in neoliberal Elvira Nabiulina, Washington's choice to head the Russian central bank. Nabiulina is unfamiliar with Modern Monetary Theory, and her commitment to "economic openness" leaves the Russian economy as exposed as Brazil's to Washington destabilization. Nabiulina believes that the assault on the ruble is due to impersonal "global market forces," not to Washington's financial clout.

Nabiulina, an indoctrinated and propagandized neoliberal, is essentially a servant of Washington, not that she is aware of her role as "useful idiot." She delights in the applause she receives from the Washington Consensus for leaving the Russian economy open to Washington's manipulation. Being a neoliberal, she does not understand that Russia's central bank can create at zero cost the money with which to finance productive projects in Russia. Instead, she thinks that the money entering the economy from the central bank is inflationary, but the money entering the economy from foreign sources is not.

Money is money regardless of whether it is made available by the central bank or by foreign creditors. As long as the money, whatever its source, is used productively, the money is not inflationary.

There is a huge difference between the money created by the central bank and the money created by foreign creditors. Money lent by foreign banks in the form of US dollars or euros must be repaid with interest in the foreign exchange in which the money was lent. Money

created by the central bank to finance public infrastructure projects does not have to be repaid at all, much less with interest and in foreign exchange earned by exports.

Funds acquired from borrowing abroad bring many risks. The money can be pulled out, collapsing a freely traded ruble. The interest that must be paid is a drain on Russia's foreign currency reserves. Foreign borrowing also brings a foreign exchange risk, which rises with economic sanctions. If the ruble drops in value or is driven down with an orchestrated attack, the ruble cost of the foreign loan can rise dramatically.

None of these risks and costs are present when the central bank is the source of money. The appropriate use of the Russian central bank is to create the money with which to finance public projects and to serve as lender of last resort to private Russian companies unable to obtain funding from Russian banks. This use of the central bank insulates the Russian economy from orchestrated destabilization.

It is unfortunate for Russia that Nabiulina and prime minister Dmitry Medvedev believe that Russian debt financed by hostile foreigners is preferable to money created by Russia's own central bank. Glazyev, alone among Putin's advisers, understands this. We suspect that the Atlanticist Integrationists have a target on Glazyev's back as they hope to integrate Russia with the West regardless of the costs to Russia. These Russian "America Worshipers" are Russia's greatest problem.

For Washington, neoliberal austerity is for "export only" to countries that Washington intends to turn into dependent financial colonies. By accommodating Washington's goal, Nabiulina is engaging in a charade. The dollars and euros borrowed from abroad are not the money that goes to the Russian borrowers. The borrowed foreign exchange is held by the central bank. *Nabiulina then creates the rubles that finance the projects. There is no point whatsoever to borrowing foreign currencies as backing for domestically created rubles. Regardless of whether Russia borrows abroad, the central bank must create rubles with which to finance the projects. So there is no point to the foreign borrowing.*

A Russian government that cannot understand this is in deep trouble.

Dr. Paul Craig Roberts was Assistant Secretary of the Treasury for Economic Policy and associate editor of the Wall Street Journal. He was columnist for Business Week, Scripps Howard News Service, and Creators Syndicate. He has had many university appointments. His internet columns have attracted a worldwide following. Roberts' latest books are [The Failure of Laissez Faire Capitalism and Economic Dissolution of the West](#), [How America Was Lost](#), and [The Neoconservative Threat to World Order](#).

La source originale de cet article est [Paul Craig Roberts](#)

Copyright © [Dr. Paul Craig Roberts](#) et [Prof Michael Hudson](#), [Paul Craig Roberts](#), 2016

Articles Par : [Dr. Paul Craig Roberts](#) et [Prof Michael Hudson](#)

A propos :

Paul Craig Roberts, former Assistant Secretary of the

US Treasury and Associate Editor of the Wall Street Journal, has held numerous university appointments. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research. Dr. Roberts can be reached at <http://paulcraigroberts.org>

Avis de non-responsabilité : Les opinions exprimées dans cet article n'engagent que le ou les auteurs. Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation se dégage de toute responsabilité concernant le contenu de cet article et ne sera pas tenu responsable pour des erreurs ou informations incorrectes ou inexacts.

Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation (CRM) accorde la permission de reproduire la version intégrale ou des extraits d'articles du site Mondialisation.ca sur des sites de médias alternatifs. La source de l'article, l'adresse url ainsi qu'un hyperlien vers l'article original du CRM doivent être indiqués. Une note de droit d'auteur (copyright) doit également être indiquée.

Pour publier des articles de Mondialisation.ca en format papier ou autre, y compris les sites Internet commerciaux, contactez: media@globalresearch.ca

Mondialisation.ca contient du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur, dont le détenteur n'a pas toujours autorisé l'utilisation. Nous mettons ce matériel à la disposition de nos lecteurs en vertu du principe "d'utilisation équitable", dans le but d'améliorer la compréhension des enjeux politiques, économiques et sociaux. Tout le matériel mis en ligne sur ce site est à but non lucratif. Il est mis à la disposition de tous ceux qui s'y intéressent dans le but de faire de la recherche ainsi qu'à des fins éducatives. Si vous désirez utiliser du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur pour des raisons autres que "l'utilisation équitable", vous devez demander la permission au détenteur du droit d'auteur.

Contact média: media@globalresearch.ca