



## New Documents Show US Knew Helping Saudis in Yemen Could Be War Crime

Par [Nadia Prupis](#)

Mondialisation.ca, 11 octobre 2016

[Common Dreams](#) 10 octobre 2016

Région : [Middle East & North Africa, USA](#)

Thème: [Crimes against Humanity](#),  
[Intelligence](#), [Media Disinformation](#)

*As the relationship between the United States and Saudi Arabia [comes under renewed scrutiny](#) in the wake of the Gulf nation's weekend bombing campaign in Yemen, a Reuters [exclusive](#) published Monday reveals that the Obama administration approved a \$1.3 billion arms sale to Saudi Arabia last year despite warnings that it could implicate the U.S. in war crimes.*

The Saudi-led airstrikes in Yemen on Saturday [killed](#) at least 140 people and wounded hundreds more, prompting the U.S. to launch a « review » of its support for the kingdom. On Monday, *Reuters* reported that the U.S. Department of State had already warned the government that « the United States could be implicated in war crimes » for aiding the campaign.

Officials also had doubts that the Saudi military would actually be able to target Houthi militants without hurting civilians or destroying infrastructure, according to department emails and interviews with officials.

However, government lawyers stopped just short of concluding that U.S. support for the campaign would implicate the country in war crimes—which could have opened up the U.S. military to accountability. *Reuters* writes:

U.S. government lawyers ultimately did not reach a conclusion on whether U.S. support for the campaign would make the United States a « co-belligerent » in the war under international law, four current and former officials said. That finding would have obligated Washington to investigate allegations of war crimes in Yemen and would have raised a legal risk that U.S. military personnel could be subject to prosecution, at least in theory.

The documents, obtained by *Reuters* through a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, shed new light on « how the United States pressed the Saudis to limit civilian damage and provided detailed lists of sites to avoid bombing, even as officials worried about whether the Saudi military had the capacity to do so, » *Rueters* continues.

American officials were actually well aware that airstrikes in Yemen were killing scores of civilians. *Reuters* writes:

State Department lawyers « had their hair on fire » as reports of civilian casualties in Yemen multiplied in 2015, and prominent human rights groups

charged that Washington could be complicit in war crimes, one U.S. official said. That official and the others requested anonymity.

During an October 2015 meeting with private human rights groups, a State Department specialist on protecting civilians in conflict acknowledged Saudi strikes were going awry.

« The strikes are not intentionally indiscriminate but rather result from a lack of Saudi experience with dropping munitions and firing missiles, » the specialist said, according to a department account of the meeting.

The specialist also noted that « weak intelligence » had contributed to confusion over who was who on the ground.

The investigation comes just after the U.S. [approved](#) yet another billion-dollar arms sale to Saudi Arabia. At the time of the authorization in September, Oxfam America president Ray Offenheiser condemned the deal as continued evidence of both nations' « startling indifference to civilian lives. »

Indeed, as *Common Dreams* reported over the weekend, the Obama administration's new review has little credibility among anti-war advocates. Although National Security Council spokesman Ned Price rebuked the airstrikes Saturday night, stating, « U.S. security cooperation with Saudi Arabia is not a blank check, » United Nations-based journalist Samuel Oakford [pointed out](#) in response that the government has long been making that empty declaration.

« WH used this 'not a black check' language for months, » he tweeted, noting that there is also no deadline for the review and that « refueling continues. »

La source originale de cet article est [Common Dreams](#)

Copyright © [Nadia Prupis](#), [Common Dreams](#), 2016

---

Articles Par : [Nadia Prupis](#)

**Avis de non-responsabilité** : Les opinions exprimées dans cet article n'engagent que le ou les auteurs. Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation se dégage de toute responsabilité concernant le contenu de cet article et ne sera pas tenu responsable pour des erreurs ou informations incorrectes ou inexacts.

Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation (CRM) accorde la permission de reproduire la version intégrale ou des extraits d'articles du site [Mondialisation.ca](#) sur des sites de médias alternatifs. La source de l'article, l'adresse url ainsi qu'un hyperlien vers l'article original du CRM doivent être indiqués. Une note de droit d'auteur (copyright) doit également être indiquée.

Pour publier des articles de [Mondialisation.ca](#) en format papier ou autre, y compris les sites Internet commerciaux, contactez: [media@globalresearch.ca](mailto:media@globalresearch.ca)

[Mondialisation.ca](#) contient du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur, dont le détenteur n'a pas toujours autorisé l'utilisation. Nous mettons ce matériel à la disposition de nos lecteurs en vertu du principe "d'utilisation équitable", dans le but d'améliorer la compréhension des enjeux politiques, économiques et sociaux. Tout le matériel mis en ligne sur ce site est à but non lucratif. Il est mis à la disposition de tous ceux qui s'y intéressent dans le but de faire de la recherche ainsi qu'à des fins éducatives. Si vous désirez utiliser du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur pour des raisons autres que "l'utilisation équitable", vous devez demander la permission au détenteur du droit d'auteur.

Contact média: [media@globalresearch.ca](mailto:media@globalresearch.ca)

