



New York Voters Not Buying into Media Rhetoric of Democratic Brawl

Par [Jon Hecht](#)

Région : [USA](#)

Mondialisation.ca, 20 avril 2016

[WhoWhatWhy](#) 19 avril 2016

Anyone following the presidential election news coverage from afar might assume that, just like the Republican contest, the Democratic race had devolved into a mud wrestling exhibition as it reached the Empire State. The media laid it on thick:

“Democrats’ Fight Intensifies as New York Primary Nears,” read the *Wall Street Journal’s* [headline](#) on April 11th.

“No More Playing Nice,” [proclaimed](#) *CBS News* on April 8th.

“..four rabid cats in a paper bag,” was how Glenn Thrush of *Politico* [described](#) the state of the New York Primary.



Bernie Sanders Rally in New York Photo credit: Jon Hecht / WhoWhatWhy

The Hill [reported](#) worries among top Democratic leaders that the fight in New York was risking the chances of both candidates come November.

But New York voters are not seeing it that way at all.

“I think it’s been the most graceful campaign that I’ve ever seen,” said Jens Rasmussen, a 45-year-old Bernie Sanders supporter, who attended a rally on April 5th in the Brooklyn neighborhood of Greenpoint along with his dog.

Rasmussen is hardly an exception in his sentiment. *WhoWhatWhy* attended three Sanders rallies and a Clinton rally, looking for the ruthless fight that the media has declared. We did not find it. Nor did we find hard feelings between the supporters of each candidate.

“This is the first election where I’m not opposed to the other candidate,” said Albama Islami, a 24-year old marketing associate who came to support Hillary Clinton at her March 30th speech at the Apollo Theater in Harlem. “I think it’s a much cleaner race than most of the races we’ve had.”

Islami’s kind feelings towards Sanders echoed most of the people interviewed by *WhoWhatWhy*. Of the more than two dozen individuals we spoke to, none of the Clinton supporters said they could not see themselves voting for Sanders in the general election. Only two Sanders supporters said they would not support Clinton in the general election.

Others said they would only do so to prevent a Republican like Donald Trump or Ted Cruz from running the country.



Hillary Clinton rally

Photo credit: Ted Eytan / Flickr (CC BY-SA 2.0)

“I would *definitely* vote for Hillary over them,” said Shannon Dennard, 34, almost laughing at the question.

As a freelance location-scout for television shows, whose access to healthcare is often in flux from not having a steady employer, Dennard sees healthcare expansion as a fundamental need in her life.

“I hope Bernie wins,” Dennard said. “I really, really love him, but I will *definitely* vote for her over *whoever* the Republican candidate is.”

Chuck Hershey, 62, a network engineer attending the April 16 Bernie Sanders rally at Prospect Park in Brooklyn, was one of those rare few who disliked Clinton enough to say he would stay home in November rather than vote for her. But even he felt that the tone of the campaign has remained remarkably easy-going.

“The press is trying to make this into a deathmatch that isn’t going on,” Hershey told *WhoWhatWhy*. “They’re trying to create some controversy.”

It is an astute observation. A boring Democratic race would not have generated ad sales and page views for corporate news outlets. So the media has tried to make the contest between Clinton and Sanders into something that it is not. While the Republican field, headlined by Trump, has been tearing each other apart, the Democratic race has been tame by any measure.

Sanders has consistently taken the high road when presented with opportunities to take shots at Clinton that were unrelated to policy disagreements. He stunned the political class when he said he had no intention of talking about the former Secretary’s “damn emails” at a debate in November. While his supporters, the infamous “Bernie Bros,” might sometimes go overboard and surrogates had to walk back comments they made, the headliner of the campaign has stuck to his pledge to run a different kind of campaign. And Clinton has also done her part to largely make the Democratic race about policy differences.

But that has not stopped the corporate media from trying to stoke the flames.

Hershey, the Sanders-supporting network engineer, pointed to the back-and-forth about which candidate was “qualified” as an example of media smoke without fire.

That spat was certainly aided, or perhaps even created by, media outlets looking for a good story.

The argument became heated when an April 6 [Washington Post headline](#) said that Clinton had questioned whether the Vermont senator was “qualified,” during an appearance on MSNBC’s *Morning Joe*. Sanders responded in kind, [stating at a rally in Philadelphia](#) that Clinton herself was unqualified because of her donations from Wall Street and her support

for the Iraq War.

Except that, despite the *Washington Post* headline, Clinton never called Sanders unqualified in her [interview](#). Though host Joe Scarborough kept trying to goad her into attacking Sanders's qualifications, Clinton repeatedly stopped short of saying Sanders was not qualified.

Both candidates later tried to walk back their comments, but the media narrative of the two opposing sides tearing down each other's credentials [had already settled in](#).

Perhaps in response to media-fed expectations, the debate in Brooklyn on April 14 was more intense than the previous skirmishes between the candidates, with both attacking each other's records, occasionally interrupting and shouting over each other. Still, it was largely a debate on the issues — a far cry from what the GOP has delivered for the most part.

"I think he's being a little more aggressive, but he has to be in the debates," Gina, 23, said of the Vermont senator at a Sanders rally on the 17th.

The nurse, who requested to not be identified by her last name, still felt that the Democratic contest was extremely tame compared to the GOP debates, which she described as "a comedy show."

La source originale de cet article est [WhoWhatWhy](#)

Copyright © [Jon Hecht](#), [WhoWhatWhy](#), 2016

Articles Par : [Jon Hecht](#)

Avis de non-responsabilité : Les opinions exprimées dans cet article n'engagent que le ou les auteurs. Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation se dégage de toute responsabilité concernant le contenu de cet article et ne sera pas tenu responsable pour des erreurs ou informations incorrectes ou inexactes.

Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation (CRM) accorde la permission de reproduire la version intégrale ou des extraits d'articles du site [Mondialisation.ca](#) sur des sites de médias alternatifs. La source de l'article, l'adresse url ainsi qu'un hyperlien vers l'article original du CRM doivent être indiqués. Une note de droit d'auteur (copyright) doit également être indiquée.

Pour publier des articles de [Mondialisation.ca](#) en format papier ou autre, y compris les sites Internet commerciaux, contactez: media@globalresearch.ca

[Mondialisation.ca](#) contient du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur, dont le détenteur n'a pas toujours autorisé l'utilisation. Nous mettons ce matériel à la disposition de nos lecteurs en vertu du principe "d'utilisation équitable", dans le but d'améliorer la compréhension des enjeux politiques, économiques et sociaux. Tout le matériel mis en ligne sur ce site est à but non lucratif. Il est mis à la disposition de tous ceux qui s'y intéressent dans le but de faire de la recherche ainsi qu'à des fins éducatives. Si vous désirez utiliser du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur pour des raisons autres que "l'utilisation équitable", vous devez demander la permission au détenteur du droit d'auteur.

Contact média: media@globalresearch.ca