



NYT: Corbyn Has Marginalized Britain's Labour Party With His Popular Positions

Par [Jim Naureckas](#)

Mondialisation.ca, 08 septembre 2016

[Fairness and Accuracy in Reporting](#) 6
septembre 2016

Région : [Europe, USA](#)

Thème: [Media Disinformation](#)

Much of the power of the New York Times derives from its ability to declare what the serious center is and who is relegated to the dismissible margins. You can see that power being exercised in a recent Times report ([9/4/16](#)) on British politics by [Steven Erlanger](#).

The story focused on Labour Party leader Jeremy Corbyn—or “its left-wing leader, [Jeremy Corbyn](#),” in the Times’ formulation. The point of the piece is to blame Corbyn for the fact that “the Labour Party is in shambles: Its leader and its members of Parliament are in a virtual civil war, and it is deeply unpopular with the broader electorate.”

Labour’s unpopularity is easy to exaggerate; its [projected national share](#) of the vote in the last local elections, held in May 2016, was 31 percent, a percentage point ahead of the Conservatives; this is [considered unpromising](#), as opposition parties that are soon to become governing parties generally do better than that, but it’s an improvement over May 2015 (four months before Corbyn assumed leadership), when Labour trailed by [6 percentage points](#).

Corbyn’s responsibility for Labour’s woes, however, is taken for granted by the Times—because he’s just too far left:

Mr. Corbyn, a man of the hard left who also wants to renationalize the utilities and make Britain non-nuclear, is deeply skeptical of the United States and considers NATO an outdated, aggressive alliance.... First elected to Parliament in 1983, Mr. Corbyn had always been on Labour’s fringe. He supported Hugo Chávez, the leftist Venezuelan strongman; has pushed hard for more spending for the poor; and has been a persistent critic of Israel and supporter of Palestinian statehood.

The thing is, some of these “hard left” positions are widely popular in the United Kingdom. A 2013 YouGov poll ([11/4/13](#)), for example, found 68 percent of UK voters in favor of nationalizing energy companies, and 66 percent supporting railroad nationalization. When recognition of Palestinian statehood was considered by the UN in 2011, a BBC poll ([9/19/11](#)) found 53 percent of Britons in favor, only 26 percent opposed.

As for pushing for more spending on the poor, given a choice between “Labour should offer more for people in poverty” and “Labour should offer more for people on middle incomes” in a poll sponsored by the Trades Union Congress ([5/20/15](#)), 44 percent of UK citizens picked

the former, vs. 29 choosing the latter.

The British public is more mixed on the UK's nuclear force, with 51 percent expressing support for the nation's submarine warheads in an ORB poll and 49 percent advocating scrapping them (Independent, [1/24/16](#)). I couldn't find any polling on how the British public felt about Corbyn's attitude toward Hugo Chávez, perhaps because no one thought that this issue was a particularly vital one for the UK electorate.

It's probably true that Corbyn is more skeptical of the [United States](#) and [NATO](#) than the average Brit. But it's hard not to get the impression that certain positions are identified by Erlanger as problematic not because they're unpopular with Corbyn's constituents—but because they're unpopular with the New York Times.

Jim Naureckas is the editor of [FAIR.org](#). You can follow him on Twitter at [@JNaureckas](#).

You can send a message to the New York Times at letters@nytimes.com (Twitter: [@NYTimes](#)). Please remember that respectful communication is the most effective.

La source originale de cet article est [Fairness and Accuracy in Reporting](#)
Copyright © [Jim Naureckas](#), [Fairness and Accuracy in Reporting](#), 2016

Articles Par : [Jim Naureckas](#)

Avis de non-responsabilité : Les opinions exprimées dans cet article n'engagent que le ou les auteurs. Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation se dégage de toute responsabilité concernant le contenu de cet article et ne sera pas tenu responsable pour des erreurs ou informations incorrectes ou inexactes.

Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation (CRM) accorde la permission de reproduire la version intégrale ou des extraits d'articles du site [Mondialisation.ca](#) sur des sites de médias alternatifs. La source de l'article, l'adresse url ainsi qu'un hyperlien vers l'article original du CRM doivent être indiqués. Une note de droit d'auteur (copyright) doit également être indiquée.

Pour publier des articles de [Mondialisation.ca](#) en format papier ou autre, y compris les sites Internet commerciaux, contactez: media@globalresearch.ca

[Mondialisation.ca](#) contient du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur, dont le détenteur n'a pas toujours autorisé l'utilisation. Nous mettons ce matériel à la disposition de nos lecteurs en vertu du principe "d'utilisation équitable", dans le but d'améliorer la compréhension des enjeux politiques, économiques et sociaux. Tout le matériel mis en ligne sur ce site est à but non lucratif. Il est mis à la disposition de tous ceux qui s'y intéressent dans le but de faire de la recherche ainsi qu'à des fins éducatives. Si vous désirez utiliser du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur pour des raisons autres que "l'utilisation équitable", vous devez demander la permission au détenteur du droit d'auteur.

Contact média: media@globalresearch.ca