



Official US Government Document Confirms That Saudi Government is Funding Al Qaeda

Par [Eric Zuesse](#)

Mondialisation.ca, 20 octobre 2016

[Strategic Culture Foundation](#) 18 octobre 2016

Région : [Middle East & North Africa, USA](#)

Thème: [Terrorism](#)

As [Liz Goodwin and Michael Isikoff noted on 11 October 2016](#) regarding a recent wikileaks:

The Clinton email states: « We need to use our diplomatic and more traditional intelligence assets to bring pressure on the governments of Qatar and Saudi Arabia, which are providing clandestine financial and logistic support to ISIL and other radical Sunni groups in the region.»

That email [from Hillary Clinton](#), was sent on 17 August 2014.

Any reference to the Saudi government is a reference to the Saudi royal family, who own the Saudi government — it's their fiefdom.

[I reported on 17 February 2016](#) that:

On 30 December 2009, US Secretary of State Hillary Clinton sent a cable (subsequently [released to the public by wikileaks](#)) to America's Ambassadors in Saudi Arabia, Qatar, UAE, Kuwait, and Pakistan, headlined, "Terrorist Finance: Action Request for Senior Level Engagement on Terrorism Finance."

She told those Ambassadors to make clear to the given nation's aristocrats that, under the new US President, Barack Obama, there would no longer be any allowance for continuation of their donations to Al Qaeda and other jihadist groups that attack the United States.

It opened, "This is an action request cable," meaning that the operations of the local US Embassy in the given nation would be monitored for compliance with the Secretary of State's "request."

Despite her assertion, there was no accountability; yet she has continued to complain to them in private about those royals' financing of terrorist groups.

On 11 February 2015, I headlined [« Al Qaeda's Bookkeeper Spills The Beans »](#) and reported, with links to the US courtroom documentation, that:

Zacarias Moussaoui was the bookkeeper and bagman (money-collector) for Al Qaeda, but the US intelligence services have been keeping this fact secret as much as they can, because what he knows about the crucial financial backers

of Al Qaeda can be very damaging to the US aristocracy, which is heavily oil-based and closely allied with the Saudi royal family, which created Al Qaeda in order to please the Saudi clerics, who are Wahhabist Muslims who constantly threaten the royals with exposure of their economic and sexual corruption unless the royals finance the spread of the Wahhabist sect (such as by Al Qaeda), and thereby finance the spread of those clerics' own international influence and power.

Or, so says the former bookkeeper of Al Qaeda, who was selected by Al Qaeda's military chief, Abu Hafs (also known as "Mohammed Atef"), to serve Osama bin Laden in that capacity: Zacarias Moussaoui. This is his testimony, in brief.

Moussaoui swore in court, that he collected multimillion-dollar cash donations to Al Qaeda from "*Waleed — Waleed bin Talal, Prince — Prince Turki Al Faisal Al Saud, Prince — Prince Bandar bin Sultan Al Saud, Prince Mohammed Al Faisal Al Saud*" and other Saudi royals. He was asked how important this was to Al Qaeda, and he replied: "It was crucial. I mean, without the money of the — of the Saudi you will have nothing." This courtroom testimony remains suppressed to the present day, virtually entirely ignored in the press — and without the 9/11 families having pushed the legal issue, this testimony never would even have occurred at all.

On 10 September 2016, [I reported on](#) 'the missing 28 pages', which were actually 29 pages, which till recently were kept secret, expurgated actually, from the congressional study on the origin of the 9/11 attacks, and noted that:

what that document actually showed, and proved (and cited FBI investigators who could then have testified in public, if requested), was the opposite of unimportant: that the Saudi Ambassador to the United States, Prince Bandar bin Sultan al-Saud (who was known in Washington as "Bandar Bush," because of his closeness to the Bush family), had secretly been paying the Saudi handlers of at least two of the 15 Saudis among the 19 9/11 hijackers, and that Bandar's wife and other relatives were also paying those hijackers-to-be, and their families — thus enabling the future hijackers to obtain the necessary pilot-training etc., for the 9/11 attacks.

Why, then did US President Barack Obama, who is oath-bound to the US Constitution and to the American people, veto a bill that Congress finally passed allowing the 9/11 families to sue the Saudi government — the Saudi royal family — for 9/11?

Whom is Obama protecting, and why? Does anyone publicly ask this question of him?

NOTE: This same person, Obama, who protects the Saudis, says as follows about the non-sectarian, separation-of-church-and-state committed, *anti-jihadist*, leader of Syria, Bashar al-Assad, whom the US and Saudi governments back Al Qaeda and other jihadist groups in Syria in order to overthrow: As the *Wall Street Journal* headlined on 19 November 2015, "[Obama Says Syrian Leader Bashar al-Assad Must Go](#)" and they reported his argument:

"It is because it is unimaginable that you can stop the civil war here when the overwhelming majority of people in Syria consider him to be a brutal, murderous dictator... He cannot regain legitimacy."

Obama says that Al Qaeda in Syria and other such jihadists (whom he calls 'moderate rebels') there should overthrow Assad (and would presumably be more 'legimate' there).

But, in reality, even Western-sponsored polls have consistently shown that Assad is the only person in Syria whom [more than 50% of the Syrian people actually want to be their leader](#), and that the US itself is loathed there because it is viewed by [82% of Syrians](#) as being to blame for the tens of thousands of jihadists who have been imported into Syria (paid for by the Saudis and militarily trained by the Americans) causing immeasurable misery there for the Syrian people.

Why are American Presidents impeached for extramarital sex but not for being traitors and for supporting America's actual enemies, against the interests of the 9/11 victims and of the rest of the American people? Is America's government against the interests of the American people? If so, [whom does it really represent](#)? And why?

La source originale de cet article est [Strategic Culture Foundation](#)

Copyright © [Eric Zuesse](#), [Strategic Culture Foundation](#), 2016

Articles Par : [Eric Zuesse](#)

A propos :

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of *They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010*, and of *CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity*.

Avis de non-responsabilité : Les opinions exprimées dans cet article n'engagent que le ou les auteurs. Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation se dégage de toute responsabilité concernant le contenu de cet article et ne sera pas tenu responsable pour des erreurs ou informations incorrectes ou inexactes.

Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation (CRM) accorde la permission de reproduire la version intégrale ou des extraits d'articles du site [Mondialisation.ca](#) sur des sites de médias alternatifs. La source de l'article, l'adresse url ainsi qu'un hyperlien vers l'article original du CRM doivent être indiqués. Une note de droit d'auteur (copyright) doit également être indiquée.

Pour publier des articles de [Mondialisation.ca](#) en format papier ou autre, y compris les sites Internet commerciaux, contactez: media@globalresearch.ca

[Mondialisation.ca](#) contient du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur, dont le détenteur n'a pas toujours autorisé l'utilisation. Nous mettons ce matériel à la disposition de nos lecteurs en vertu du principe "d'utilisation équitable", dans le but d'améliorer la compréhension des enjeux politiques, économiques et sociaux. Tout le matériel mis en ligne sur ce site est à but non lucratif. Il est mis à la disposition de tous ceux qui s'y intéressent dans le but de faire de la recherche ainsi qu'à des fins éducatives. Si vous désirez utiliser du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur pour des raisons autres que "l'utilisation équitable", vous devez demander la permission au détenteur du droit d'auteur.

Contact média: media@globalresearch.ca