



Selected Articles: US Elections 2016: Political Crisis in the Aftermath?

Par [Global Research News](#)

Analyses: [U.S. Elections](#)

Mondialisation.ca, 07 novembre 2016



[US Presidential Election: Deeply Flawed Candidates. Who Will Win and Who Deserves to Win?](#)

By [David Morgan](#), November 06 2016

It has been widely commented that the two main contenders in the US presidential race are both deeply flawed candidates, even that they are both unfit to hold high office. Whoever next takes up residence in the White House will become the most powerful person in the world at the conclusion of this coming week's voting and the fate of all the peoples of the world will be in his or her hands. It's a frightening prospect in itself that one individual can exercise so much power.



[No, Hillary Clinton is not less Evil than Trump: "One has Funny Hair, the Other Wears Trouser-suits"](#)

By [Jonathan Cook](#), November 07 2016

Given that both Donald Trump and Clinton represent big money - and big money only - Clinton's supporters have been forced to find another stick. And that has been the "lesser evil" argument. There is nothing new about this argument. It had been around for decades, and has been corralling progressives into voting for Democratic presidents who have still advanced US neoconservative policy goals abroad and neoliberal ones at home. So is it true that Clinton is the lesser-evil candidate? To answer that question, we need to examine those "policy differences" with Trump.



[The Never-ending Clinton-Trump Race is Coming to a Close. Then What?](#)

By [DonkeyHotey](#) and [Klaus Marre](#), November 07 2016

Finally! That is the prevailing thought as this presidential election is headed for the finish line. Wanting this race to be over is certainly one of the reasons why a record number of Americans have voted early. But will it be? Sure, we will (likely) know by Wednesday who

the next president will be, but, in this election, that will not provide closure. The country is still deeply divided and neither candidate appears to be in a position to heal that rift.



[A More Dangerous World is Probably Coming After the US Election](#)

By [Dimitris Konstantakopoulos](#), November 07 2016

The level of irrationality, confusion and negative energy is the most astonishing signal emanating out of the US presidential election. It is a strong indication that, whatever the result, we should be prepared for an escalation in the already serious tensions dominating our world.



[FBI Director James Comey: Hillary Should Not Face Criminal Charges. But Who Conducted the Investigation? FBI Deputy Director Andrew McCabe Whose Wife Received \\$467,500](#)

By [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#), November 07 2016

Hillary had bought out the police chief in charge of investigating her alleged wrongdoings. Needless to say Andrew McCabe was NOT the object of a police investigation. If he had things may have turned out differently.



[The FBI Can't Actually Investigate a Candidate Such as Hillary Clinton](#)

By [Eric Zuesse](#), November 05 2016

The power above the FBI is the US Attorney General, and, above that person, the US President. That's whom the FBI actually serves — not the US public. This is the reason why the FBI is having such internal tensions and dissensions over the investigation of Hillary Clinton: Not only is she the current President's ardently preferred and designated successor but the top leadership of the FBI have terms-in-office that do not end with the installation of the next President; and these people will therefore be serving, quite possibly, the very same person whom they are now 'investigating'.



[A Brexit-Style Revolution in the USA? The Real Differences between Clinton and Trump](#)

By [Takis Fotopoulos](#), November 06 2016

There is no doubt that the forthcoming US Presidential elections are perhaps the most controversial ones in the US history. This has nothing of course to do with the various personal 'scandals' supposedly marring the two candidates, i.e. the emails scandal vs. the

sexual utterances that are incompatible with the political correctness imposed by the ideology of globalization. These are obvious diversions created by the system itself in order to disorient the American victims of globalization from the real issues of these elections.



Whose Finger on the Nuclear Button? Hillary or Donald? Election 2016 And The Growing Global Nuclear Threat

By [Michael Klare](#), November 07 2016

With passions running high on both sides in this year's election and rising fears about Donald Trump's impulsive nature and Hillary Clinton's hawkish one, it's hardly surprising that the "nuclear button" question has surfaced repeatedly throughout the campaign. In one of the more pointed exchanges of the first presidential debate, Hillary Clinton declared that Donald Trump lacked the mental composure for the job. "A man who can be provoked by a tweet," she commented, "should not have his fingers anywhere near the nuclear codes." Donald Trump has reciprocated by charging that Clinton is too prone to intervene abroad. "You're going to end up in World War III over Syria," he told reporters in Florida last month.



American Irrationalism. Something is Terribly Wrong

By [Chris Hedges](#), November 06 2016

There are millions of Americans who know that something is terribly wrong. A light has gone out. They see this in their own suffering and hopelessness and the suffering and hopelessness of their neighbors. But they lack, because of the contamination of our political, cultural and intellectual discourse, the words and ideas to make sense of what is happening around them. They are bereft of a vision. Austerity, globalization, unfettered capitalism, an expansion of the extraction of fossil fuels, and war are not the prices to be paid for progress and the advance of civilization. They are part of the savage and deadly exploitation by corporate capitalism and imperialism.

La source originale de cet article est Mondialisation.ca
Copyright © [Global Research News](#), Mondialisation.ca, 2016

Articles Par : [Global
Research News](#)

Avis de non-responsabilité : Les opinions exprimées dans cet article n'engagent que le ou les auteurs. Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation se dégage de toute responsabilité concernant le contenu de cet article et ne sera pas tenu responsable pour des erreurs ou informations incorrectes ou inexacts.

Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation (CRM) accorde la permission de reproduire la version intégrale ou des extraits d'articles du site Mondialisation.ca sur des sites de médias alternatifs. La source de l'article, l'adresse url ainsi qu'un hyperlien vers l'article original du CRM doivent être indiqués. Une note de droit d'auteur (copyright) doit également être indiquée.

Pour publier des articles de Mondialisation.ca en format papier ou autre, y compris les sites Internet commerciaux, contactez: media@globalresearch.ca

Mondialisation.ca contient du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur, dont le détenteur n'a pas toujours autorisé l'utilisation. Nous mettons ce matériel à la disposition de nos lecteurs en vertu du principe "d'utilisation équitable", dans le but d'améliorer la compréhension des enjeux politiques, économiques et sociaux. Tout le matériel mis en ligne sur ce site est à but non lucratif. Il est mis à la disposition de tous ceux qui s'y intéressent dans le but de faire de la recherche ainsi qu'à des fins éducatives. Si vous désirez utiliser du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur pour des raisons autres que "l'utilisation équitable", vous devez demander la permission au détenteur du droit d'auteur.

Contact média: media@globalresearch.ca