



## The Arab Spring: US Black Ops and Subversion

Book review of Ahmed Bensaada, Arabesque\$: Enquête sur le rôle des États-Unis dans les révoltes arabes (Investigation into the US Role in the Arab Uprisings)

Par [Stuart Jeanne Bramhall](#) et [Tony Seed](#)

Mondialisation.ca, 15 avril 2016

[Tony Seed's Weblog](#)

Région : [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Thème: [Intelligence](#), [Media Disinformation](#),  
[US NATO War Agenda](#)

Analyses: [ARAB PROTEST MOVEMENT](#)

### *Introduction by Tony Seed*

According to the spin of the NATO countries, the aim of the 2011 uprisings in much of the Middle East and North Africa – the so-called “Arab Spring” was to embrace “Western values.” Disinformation is spread that the aim of resistance is to bring about a liberal European nation-state like those formed in the 19th century on the basis of plunder and devastation.

In parallel, a similar Eurocentric and racist conceit permeates the counter-spin that the “Arab Spring” was the exclusive product of US subversion, as if U.S. imperialism and Zionism is so powerful that it monopolizes both subjective and objective factors. The underlying premise is that the Arabic and African peoples have no history and experience of fighting oppression and are inferior peoples incapable of organizing without Western liberal tutelage.

The premise of both the spin and the counter-spin is to wreck public opinion with the disinformation that the peoples do not have their own history and profound thought material, on the basis of which they can construct a real alternative such as independent politics that advances their movement and nation-states that serve their own interests and well-being. In parallel, the rising of the Arabic and African peoples against imperialist domination and exploitation and their striving for progress and renewal is attributed to religious and ethnic factors, on the basis of which sectarian violence is organized by the secret agencies to smash the unity of the peoples and wreck public opinion. The premise and *modus operandi* of this disinformation is brilliantly discussed by Sandra Smith in [Muslims and the Media](#) on this website.

As STUART JEANNE BRAMHALL\* summarizes in her book review, the dirty role of US agencies and its creation of a 5th column in the “Arab Spring” was undeniable – as extensive as it was perfidious. Today, at a time when European nation-building projects are in profound crisis, the role of these agencies in spreading chaos and sectarian violence amongst the peoples fighting for liberation as well as sovereign countries such as Venezuela or Syria is causing disasters of unprecedented proportions, as the ensuing events of the past five years in the Middle East and North Africa demonstrates.

## Implications for Canada

The significance cannot be lost on Canadians, who can correlate that the subversive agencies of the United States play a similar role within Canada to oppose a genuine nation-building project that will block the drive for annexation and aggressive war, and whose government is up to its neck in overt and covert subversion and aggression in the Middle East and North Africa.

Think about this: the Halifax International Security Forum (HISF), mainly funded by the Department of National Defence (DND), was formed in 2009 as a covert arm of the U.S. state, as [demonstrated by fact](#); its headquarters is in Washington, DC. Its two leading officials, president David Van Praagh and vice-president Joseph Hall, both U.S. citizens and experienced agents whose salary is paid by the DND, were deployed to Egypt by the U.S. National Democratic Institute of the National Endowment for Democracy (NED) during the Tahrir Square uprising. The HISF website publicly crows that, “Mr. Hall opened the first NDI office in Cairo in 2005 and had the *privilege* to be in Cairo in January and February of 2011” (emphasis added). Directors of the HISF include three members of the Council of Foreign Relations (CFR) and the president of Freedom House.

Leading representatives of federal ministries of defence and foreign affairs, all major political parties, the think tanks and liberal foreign policy gurus participate in annual forums of the HISF. Prime Minister Justin Trudeau’s foreign policy adviser, Roland Paris, the former Founding Director of the Centre for International Policy Studies (CIPS) at the University of Ottawa, was a member of its “agenda working group” for two years. When the *National Post* calls Paris “the man behind Justin Trudeau’s foreign policy,” one can ask who is behind the man and who is setting Trudeau’s agenda! (A more complete and uptodate treatment of Paris’ views can be seen [here](#).) In turn, along with overt military deployments abroad, the Canadian Dept. of Foreign Affairs advances oodles of money in what it calls democracy-building back to U.S. state agencies such as NED and Freedom House to bring to power in oppressed nations those who will submit to foreign interests and impose their own concept of the colonial nation state.

REVIEW by STUART JEANNE BRAMHALL\*



*Arabesque\$: Enquête sur le rôle des États-Unis dans les révoltes arabes* (Investigation into the US Role in the Arab Uprisings) is an update of Ahmed Bensaada’s 2011 book [L’Arabesque Américaine](#). It concerns the US government role in

instigating, funding and coordinating the Arab Spring “revolutions.” Obviously most of this history has been carefully suppressed by the western media. The new book devotes much more attention to the personalities leading the 2011 uprisings. Some openly admitted to receiving CIA funding. Others had no idea because it was deliberately concealed from them. A few (in Egypt and Syria) were officially charged with espionage. In Egypt, seven sought refuge in the US embassy in Cairo and had to be evacuated by the State Department.

Democracy: America’s biggest export

According to Bensaada, the MENA Arab Spring revolutions have four unique features in common:

- None were spontaneous – all required careful and lengthy (5+ years) planning, by the State Department, CIA pass through foundations, George Soros, and the pro-Israel lobby.<sup>1</sup>
- All focused exclusively on removing reviled despots without replacing the autocratic power structure that kept them in power.
- No Arab Spring protests made any reference whatsoever to powerful anti-US sentiment over Palestine and Iraq.
- All the instigators of Arab Spring uprisings were middle class, well educated youth who mysteriously vanished after 2011.

Nonviolent regime change



Bensaada begins by introducing non-violent guru Gene Sharp (see [The CIA and Nonviolence](#)), his links with the Pentagon and US intelligence, and his role, as director of the Albert Einstein Institution, in the “colour” revolutions.<sup>2</sup> in Eastern Europe and the attempted coup against Hugo Chavez in 2002.)

The US goal in the Arab Spring revolutions was to replace unpopular despotic dictators, while taking care to maintain the autocratic US-friendly infrastructure that had brought them to power. All initially followed the nonviolent precepts Sharp outlines in his 1994 book *From Dictatorship to Democracy*. In Libya, Syria and Yemen, the US and their allies were clearly prepared to introduce paid mercenaries when their Sharpian “revolutions” failed to produce regime change.

Follow the money



Relying mainly on Wikileaks cables and the websites of key CIA pass through foundations (which he reproduces in the appendix), Bensaada methodically lists every State Department conference and workshop the Arab Spring heroes attended, the dollar amounts spent on them by the State Department and key “democracy” promoting foundations<sup>3</sup>, the specific involvement of Google, Facebook, Twitter and Obama’s 2008 Internet campaign team in training Arab Spring cyperactivists in encryption technologies and social media skills, US embassy visits, and direct encounters with Hillary Clinton, Condoleezza Rice, John McCain, Barack Obama and Serbian trainers from CANVAS (the CIA-backed organization that overthrew Slobodan Milosevic in 2000).

Bensaada focuses most heavily on the Tahrir Square uprising in Egypt. The *Washington Post* has estimated approximately 10,000 Egyptians took part in NED and USAID training in social media and nonviolent organizing techniques.

For me the most astonishing information in this chapter concerned the role of an Egyptian exile (a former Egyptian policeman named Omar Afifi Suleiman) in coordinating the Tahrir Square protests from his office in Washington DC. According to Wikileaks, NED paid Suleiman a yearly stipend of \$200,000+ between 2008-2011.

When nonviolence fails

*Arabesques* devotes far more attention to Libya, Syria and Yemen than Bensaada’s first book.



Image: Sen John McCain, head of the International Republican Institute, and Libyan terrorist military leader Abdel-Fattah Younis (R) of the Transitional National Council tour their headquarters in the city of Benghazi on April 22, 2011. McCain praised Libyan terrorists as his “heroes” in a visit to their de facto capital, one day after the US started flying armed Predator drones to bolster NATO firepower and try to break a battlefield stalemate with



Libyan forces. The overthrow of that country's government by NATO including Canada and the feudal Gulf Arab powers has now led to a collapse in human rights and social conditions. Libya became a principal conduit of sending arms and recruits through Turkey to Syria to carry out US regime change in that country | UPI\Tarek Alhuony

In the section on Libya, Bensaada zeroes in on eleven key US assets who engineered the overthrow of Gaddafi. Some participated in the same State Department trainings as the Middle East opposition activists and instigated nonviolent Facebook and Twitter protests to coincide with the 2011 uprisings in Tunisia and Egypt. Others, in exile, underwent guerrilla training sponsored by the CIA, Mossad, Chad and Saudi Arabia. A few months after Gaddafi's assassination, some of these same militants would lead Islamic militias attempting to overthrow Assad in Syria.

Between 2005 and 2010, the State Department funneled \$12 million to opposition groups opposed to Assad. The US also financed Syrian exiles in Britain to start an anti-government cable TV channel they beamed into Syria.

In the section on Syria, Bensaada focuses on a handful of Syrian opposition activists who received free US training in cyberactivism and nonviolent resistance beginning in 2006. One, Ausama Monajed, is featured in the 2011 film *How to Start a Revolution* about a visit with Gene Sharp in 2006. Monajed and others worked closely with the US embassy, funded by the Middle East Partnership Initiative (MEPI). This is a State Department program that operates in countries (such as Libya and Syria) where USAID is banned.

In February 2011, these groups posted a call on Twitter and Facebook for a Day of Rage. Nothing happened. When Sharpian techniques failed to produce a sizable nonviolent uprising, as in Libya, they and their allies (Saudi Arabia, Turkey, Qatar and Jordan) were all set up to introduce Islamic mercenaries (many directly from Libya) to declare war on the Assad regime.

*Dr. Bramhall is a retired American psychiatrist and political refugee in New Zealand. She has published a free, downloadable non-fiction ebook [21st Century Revolution](#). Her first book *The Most Revolutionary Act: Memoir of an American Refugee* describes the circumstances that led her to leave the US in 2002. Email her at: [stuartbramhall@yahoo.co.nz](mailto:stuartbramhall@yahoo.co.nz).*

## Notes

1. I was astonished to learn that Forum Fikra, a forum for Arab activists working against authoritarian governments, was mainly funded by the Nathan and Esther K Wagner Family Foundation. The latter also funds numerous pro-Israel groups and projects, as well as the Washington Institute for Near East policy (a pro-Israel group with close ties to AIPAC).

2. The colour revolutions were CIA-instigated uprisings that replaced democratically-elected, pro-Russian governments with equally autocratic governments more friendly to US corporate interests:

- Serbia (2000) - Bulldozer Revolution
- Georgia (2002) - Rose Revolution
- Ukraine (2004) - Orange Revolution
- Kyrgyzstan (2005) - Tulip Revolution []

3. Democracy promoting foundations (as used here, "democracy" is synonymous with

capitalism, *i.e.*, favourable to the interests of US investors). Here are seven of the main ones involved in funding and training Arab Spring activists:

- USAID (US Agency for International Development) – State Department agency charged with economic development and humanitarian aid with a long history of financing destabilization activities, especially in Latin America.
- NED (National Endowment for Democracy) – national organization supported by State Department and CIA funding dedicated to the promotion of democratic institutions throughout the world, primary funder of IRI and NDI.
- IRI (International Republican Institute) – democracy promoting organization linked with the Republican Party, currently chaired by Senator John McCain and funded by NED.
- NDI (National Democratic Institute for International Affairs) – democracy promoting organization linked with the Democratic Party, currently chaired by Madeline Albright and funded by NED.
- OSI (Open Society Institute) – founded by George Soros in 1993 to help fund colour revolutions in Eastern Europe. Also contributed major funding to Arab Spring revolutions.
- Freedom House – US organization that supports nonviolent citizens initiatives in societies where liberty is denied or threatened, financed by USAID, NED and the Soros Foundation.
- CANVAS (Center for Applied Non Violent Action and Strategies) – center originally founded by the Serbian activists of Otpor who the US funded and trained to overthrow Slobodan Milosevic and who were instrumental in training Arab Spring activists. Funded by Freedom House, IRI and George Soros [ ]

La source originale de cet article est [Tony Seed's Weblog](#)

Copyright © [Stuart Jeanne Bramhall](#) et [Tony Seed](#), [Tony Seed's Weblog](#), 2016

Articles Par : [Stuart Jeanne Bramhall](#) et [Tony Seed](#)

**Avis de non-responsabilité** : Les opinions exprimées dans cet article n'engagent que le ou les auteurs. Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation se dégage de toute responsabilité concernant le contenu de cet article et ne sera pas tenu responsable pour des erreurs ou informations incorrectes ou inexactes.

Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation (CRM) accorde la permission de reproduire la version intégrale ou des extraits d'articles du site [Mondialisation.ca](#) sur des sites de médias alternatifs. La source de l'article, l'adresse url ainsi qu'un hyperlien vers l'article original du CRM doivent être indiqués. Une note de droit d'auteur (copyright) doit également être indiquée.

Pour publier des articles de [Mondialisation.ca](#) en format papier ou autre, y compris les sites Internet commerciaux, contactez: [media@globalresearch.ca](mailto:media@globalresearch.ca)

[Mondialisation.ca](#) contient du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur, dont le détenteur n'a pas toujours autorisé l'utilisation. Nous mettons ce matériel à la disposition de nos lecteurs en vertu du principe "d'utilisation équitable", dans le but d'améliorer la compréhension des enjeux politiques, économiques et sociaux. Tout le matériel mis en ligne sur ce site est à but non lucratif. Il est mis à la disposition de tous ceux qui s'y intéressent dans le but de faire de la recherche ainsi qu'à des fins éducatives. Si vous désirez utiliser du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur pour des raisons autres que "l'utilisation équitable", vous devez demander la permission au détenteur du droit d'auteur.

Contact média: [media@globalresearch.ca](mailto:media@globalresearch.ca)