



The Case Against Hillary Clinton

Par [Eric Zuesse](#)

Mondialisation.ca, 05 mai 2016

Région : [USA](#)

[The case against Hillary Clinton](#) (click onto it at that link) seems to me to be so strong (and I've checked the soundness of all of its sources), so that I'm even asking myself whether I shouldn't vote the Presidential line at all (or else vote there for a 'protest' candidate, which is effectively the same thing as not voting at all) on November 8th, or should instead even go so far as to vote for Donald Trump, in order to prevent her from becoming President.

Then, I saw recently a reader-comment at a news-site where the unpalatable Presidential options were being discussed, and one "patriot » said there that on November 8th, anything would be better than a vote for Trump, to which another person responded:

Not so; I've never voted Republican in my life, but if Hillary is the candidate, I'll vote for Trump, because he has no record in public office (and what he says contradicts himself routinely so can't be believed), whereas she has an extensive record in public office (and she lies almost as much as he does, and so her words also are null), and that record is disgusting:

<http://www.washingtonsblog.com/2016/02/hillary-clintons-six-foreign-policy-catastrophes.html>

Since I wrote that, I was forced to ask myself whether I would vote for Trump if the only real alternative turns out to be Hillary; and, I concluded that, yes, I would, and that the reason is precisely because I don't trust either candidate, but that only in the case of Hillary am I *certain* that she as President would be catastrophic. At least with Trump, I have no way of knowing what his *real* policies would be.



This isn't to say that I agree with what either candidate says, or would be saying as President; it's to assert that only Hillary has an actual track-record regarding public policy — and that it's catastrophic.

A proven catastrophe is *far worse* than a merely *possible* catastrophe; so, if Hillary Clinton turns out to be the Democratic nominee, I shall vote for Donald Trump, and then just hope that the worst things that he had said regarding public policy were lies, and that the best things that he had said regarding public policy reflected his actual beliefs.

Anyone who would say in response to this, "But that's to believe in the horrible policy-prescriptions from Trump" would be missing the point here: this point is the exact opposite: *I don't* believe what either of those candidates say; I know that they're both pathological liars; but, only one of them has an actual record, and it's catastrophic. Hillary offers not only her lies but something real (a record that's highly relevant to the office she's seeking) — and it's repulsive. Trump, by contrast, offers no relevant track-record at all on public-policy matters. That's not a virtue on his part, it's a lack of the worst possible vice (a vile record of *actual policies while in public office*), for a potential U.S. President.

Given a choice between a *proven* psychopath, versus merely a possible (or even a *likely*) psychopath, I'll definitely opt for the latter. It's the only intelligent thing to do. Anything else would be suckerdome.

If you want to see her actual vile record on global warming, click [here](#), and [here](#).

If you want to see her vile record against the public and for the top 0.001%, click [here](#).

If you want to see her exploitation of women and Blacks to win elections, click [here](#).

If you want to see her actual support for the *Citizens United* decision she condemns, click [here](#).

If you want to see her solid record of backing American invasions, click [here](#).

If you want to see her actual support for mega-rich tax-evaders, click [here](#).

And, as far as Trump's promises about any of these things, they should be ignored as much as her promises about them should be. Even what he has actually done as a businessman isn't necessarily an indication of what he'd *do about the governmental policy-issue*. In fact, Trump's most blatantly bad promises (such as regarding immigration) are far more likely to be quickly abandoned by him as President, than Hillary's are if she becomes President, because whereas Hillary's (such as blocking single-payer health insurance) are supported by the Establishment, Trump's are opposed by them (which is why [even Republican donors have been donating more to Hillary's campaign than to Trump's campaign](#)). (What do those Republican mega-donors know that the general public don't? They know Trump.)

When life offers a choice between bad options, one still has an obligation to make that choice, and to do it intelligently. In the case of voting (or else *not* voting) for the President of one's nation, it's more than merely an intellectual obligation: it's one's civic *duty*. That's why I, as a person with progressive values, will vote for Trump if Hillary becomes the Democratic nominee.

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of [They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#), and of [CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity](#).

La source originale de cet article est Mondialisation.ca
Copyright © [Eric Zuesse](#), Mondialisation.ca, 2016

Articles Par : [Eric Zuesse](#)

A propos :

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of [They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#), and of [CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity](#).

Avis de non-responsabilité : Les opinions exprimées dans cet article n'engagent que le ou les auteurs. Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation se dégage de toute responsabilité concernant le contenu de cet article et ne sera pas tenu responsable pour des erreurs ou informations incorrectes ou inexacts.

Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation (CRM) accorde la permission de reproduire la version intégrale ou des extraits d'articles du site [Mondialisation.ca](#) sur des sites de médias alternatifs. La source de l'article, l'adresse url ainsi qu'un hyperlien vers l'article original du CRM doivent être indiqués. Une note de droit d'auteur (copyright) doit également être indiquée.

Pour publier des articles de [Mondialisation.ca](#) en format papier ou autre, y compris les sites Internet commerciaux, contactez: media@globalresearch.ca

[Mondialisation.ca](#) contient du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur, dont le détenteur n'a pas toujours autorisé l'utilisation. Nous mettons ce matériel à la disposition de nos lecteurs en vertu du principe "d'utilisation équitable", dans le but d'améliorer la compréhension des enjeux politiques, économiques et sociaux. Tout le matériel mis en ligne sur ce site est à but non lucratif. Il est mis à la disposition de tous ceux qui s'y intéressent dans le but de faire de la recherche ainsi qu'à des fins éducatives. Si

vous désirez utiliser du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur pour des raisons autres que "l'utilisation équitable", vous devez demander la permission au détenteur du droit d'auteur.

Contact média: media@globalresearch.ca