



## Victory Day over Nazi Germany: Western Narrative of World War II ‘Falsifies History’

Par [Sputnik](#) et [Brian Becker](#)

Mondialisation.ca, 10 mai 2016

[Sputnik News](#) 9 mai 2016

Région : [Russia and FSU](#)

Thème: [History](#), [Media Disinformation](#)

*As Russia celebrates the Soviet victory over Nazi Germany 71 years ago, Radio Sputnik's Loud & Clear talks with historian Peter Kuznick about the West's habit of downplaying the USSR's role in World War II and the United States' part in sparking the Cold War that followed.*

« The Americans and the Soviet have such different memories of World War II, » Kuznick, a professor at American University, told Loud & Clear's Brian Becker. « Americans see it in the triumphalist sense. The Good War, the victory against fascism. But the American sacrifice was relatively minimal compared to the Soviet sacrifice. »

« The Soviets lost 27 million people in World War II. Everybody was affected. All families lost people and they all suffered immensely during the war. »

Victory Day is much more widely celebrated in Russia than in the United States, notably because the USSR played the key role in defeating the Nazis, contrary to popular beliefs in the West.

« One of the big myths about World War II is that the United States won the war in Europe, » Kuznick says. « The United States contributed to the Soviet victory...but it was the Soviets who did most of the fighting.

« Not only do we have different narratives, we have different chronologies for the war. The Russian war starts in 1941, [while] the American war starts really in June of 1944, three years later, [when] the Americans land at Normandy, push through the German forces, march to Berlin, and win the war. »

« If you ask American students, that's the understanding they have of the war, » he adds. « It's part of this narrative about World War II that is so fundamentally mistaken that it really falsifies history. »

After the war, the US and USSR stated their intention to work together with Britain, France and China to maintain global peace. But this alliance was quickly eroded.

« One of the tragedies is that the post-war alliance that [President Franklin] Roosevelt and Vice President Henry Wallace had envisioned was destroyed, » Kuznick says.

While the European war theater ended on May 9, the Pacific war theater continued for a few more months, resulting in the controversial use of nuclear weapons against Japan in Nagasaki and Hiroshima.

« It was not only unnecessary from a military standpoint, but the American leaders knew it was militarily unnecessary, and the Soviet leaders also knew it was militarily unnecessary, » he says.

« In fact, the Soviets knew better than anybody...how unnecessary the dropping of the atomic bomb was. And that's because the Japanese had adopted a strategy of trying to get the Soviets, who were neutral, to intervene on Japan's behalf to get better surrender terms from the United States. »

Given that Washington was aware of Japan's imminent surrender, the use of atomic weapons – killing hundreds of thousands of civilians – may have had a purpose other than the oft-repeated aim of ending the war.

« If it was not to defeat the Japanese, who were we sending the message to? And it was clear to a lot of Americans, and it was certainly clear to the Soviet leaders in the Kremlin, that they were the actual target of the bomb, even more so than the Japanese. »

The United States was saying, according to Kuznick, that « 'this is what's going to happen to you, Stalin and company, if you mess with America's plans in Europe or in the Middle East or in Asia.' It was a clear warning. »

The use of nuclear weapons at the end of the war launched a nuclear arms race and, essentially, sparked the subsequent Cold War.

« At this point, he [Soviet leader Joseph Stalin] realized that the Cold War had taken a quantum leap forward in terms of US aggression and US ruthlessness. The Soviets believed at that point that the Americans were so ruthless in terms of trying to achieve their purposes that nothing would constrain them. »

La source originale de cet article est [Sputnik News](#)

Copyright © [Sputnik](#) et [Brian Becker](#), [Sputnik News](#), 2016

---

Articles Par : [Sputnik](#) et [Brian Becker](#)

**Avis de non-responsabilité** : Les opinions exprimées dans cet article n'engagent que le ou les auteurs. Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation se dégage de toute responsabilité concernant le contenu de cet article et ne sera pas tenu responsable pour des erreurs ou informations incorrectes ou inexactes.

Le Centre de recherche sur la mondialisation (CRM) accorde la permission de reproduire la version intégrale ou des extraits d'articles du site [Mondialisation.ca](#) sur des sites de médias alternatifs. La source de l'article, l'adresse url ainsi qu'un hyperlien vers l'article original du CRM doivent être indiqués. Une note de droit d'auteur (copyright) doit également être indiquée.

Pour publier des articles de [Mondialisation.ca](http://Mondialisation.ca) en format papier ou autre, y compris les sites Internet commerciaux, contactez: [media@globalresearch.ca](mailto:media@globalresearch.ca)

[Mondialisation.ca](http://Mondialisation.ca) contient du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur, dont le détenteur n'a pas toujours autorisé l'utilisation. Nous mettons ce matériel à la disposition de nos lecteurs en vertu du principe "d'utilisation équitable", dans le but d'améliorer la compréhension des enjeux politiques, économiques et sociaux. Tout le matériel mis en ligne sur ce site est à but non lucratif. Il est mis à la disposition de tous ceux qui s'y intéressent dans le but de faire de la recherche ainsi qu'à des fins éducatives. Si vous désirez utiliser du matériel protégé par le droit d'auteur pour des raisons autres que "l'utilisation équitable", vous devez demander la permission au détenteur du droit d'auteur.

Contact média: [media@globalresearch.ca](mailto:media@globalresearch.ca)